## Late Representations Planning Committee 22<sup>nd</sup> February 2024

Item	Planning Ref:	PL/2023/0002475/HHA
No. 9	Site:	26 Glebefarm Grove Coventry. CV3 2NE
	Proposal:	Erection of boundary wall (retrospective).
	FTOPOSal.	Election of boundary wan (lettospective).
	NEIGHBOUR RESPONSE(S)	
	One further response has been received by a neighbour who has sought further clarification on matters which the officer has raised in the officer's report. Their concerns are outlined as follows:	
	• It is noted that highways have only objected due to the impact on visibility concerning highways safety and not the need for the neighbouring property having to bump the kerb to access their drive. What is the reason they have not objected to this?	
	increase the le	erning the neighbour having the option to widen the drive or ength of the dropped kerb for the purposes of vehicular be an unreasonable expense for the neighbour.
	layout of the prohave in the pas	nt has provided historic street view pictures of the previous operties. They have pointed out that the occupants of no.26 at opened their doors over the neighbour's land and as such y assumed a right of access over their land.
	neighbour, it is	es no purpose other than for the inconvenience of the also noted that it does not go up to the garage as they would access the garage should it have been constructed to this
	REPORT	
	The comments which below.	have been raised by the complainant will be discussed
relates to highways unacceptable impa considered the nee		ction from highways, it is noted that the objection made safety, the impact on visibility splays would result in an on such safety. The local highways authority has not for the neighbour to have to bump up the kerb an expedient s application. A reason for refusal has been included which risibility.
	no.25 and no.26 Gleb party over the others	of access, the officer has viewed the deeds for both the efarm Grove and cannot see any rights of access for either land. However, any matters concerning right of access or s land are considered to be private civil matters and cannot

be considered in determining this application. The note concerning the access
to the driveway and potential solutions is sympathised with, but again is a civil
matter that cannot be considered as part of the application.